



SOUTH WOOD COUNTY AIRPORT
 Jeremy Sickler, Airport Manager

August 2024

Airport Development:

Obstruction Removal update: SEH shared the scope of work with BOA on 9/3/2024, after receiving confirmation from BOA on environmental considerations. BOA will need to review/approve scope prior to moving forward with contract negotiations. SEH will work with BOA to get this work completed yet this winter.

Master Plan update: SEH received the signed contract from BOA on 9/3/2024. SEH will begin working on the initial project items and start moving forward on the project.

Fuel Type	Previous month	Current month	This month last year	2024 year to date	2023 year to date	Year over Year
100 LL	2807.84	2164.56	1806.99	13837.46	13322.15	104%
Jet A	17717.59	16251.00	16654.69	64149.21	55919.49	115%
TOTAL	20525.43	18415.56	18451.68	77986.87	69241.64	113%

Airport Monthly Revenues:

Sales

Fuel:	\$108421.30
Ramp Fee:	\$5328.21
Lav Fee:	\$598.24
GPU:	\$1463.61
Call Out Fee:	\$1418.06
Transient Hangar:	\$2680.79
Catering:	\$0.00
Oil:	\$95.45

Year over year total fuel gallon sales comparison through August:

2022 – 56701.31 gallons
 2021 – 60779.96 gallons

Other Airport Items:

Hail damage assessments: Were conducted on Airport vehicles and buildings. All three of the vehicles suffered damage worth a total of over \$19,000. The Crown Victoria was deemed a total loss. The old and new commission community hangars didn't receive any repairable damage but both of the T-Hangar buildings will be replaced for a total of approximately \$80,000. Deductible and depreciation reports have yet to be received. The new courtesy vehicle will be scheduled for repair when authorized.

Airport Attendant schedule: Attendant Hunter Geishart started two weeks of paternity leave on Thursday, August 28.

Furnace Installation: New furnaces, filter vessels, and thermostats were installed in late August.

Gate operation evaluations: Security Fence Co. was present in late August for gate condition and repair evaluation. A quote/report has not yet been received. Once both quotes are available they will be brought to the Commission

Parking lot evaluation: Two sealcoat companies were contacted to assess the condition of the parking lot asphalt and give estimates/recommendations. One company submitted a quote for slurry-sealing and re-striping. The other company said the asphalt wasn't worth sealing and striping and is going to submit a quote for repaving. It is reasonable to assume that the asphalt is the original asphalt installed at the time of construction of the terminal. This project is not likely to be eligible for state or federal funding.

